ID.35Transcript of Sheila Nock

From: Sheila Nock < > Sent:07 March 2023 02:34

To: Skinner, Helen https://www.elen.com/helen_skinner.gov.uk

Subject:Lea Castle Farm Quarry Public Enqu**St**reila Nock

NOISE
DUST & AIR QUALITY
LIGHT POLLUTION
LIAM TOLANDS PROOF OF EVIDENCE

NOISEMS R CANHAM WBMProof of Evidence

The report of Proof of Evidence on noise for the proposed **site** Castle Farm Quarry presented by Ms R Canham, after reading her report it has made be feel very uneasy and something does not sit well with her findings.

I would like clarification on how Ms Canham came to her findings and was confident enough to use it as her Proof of Expence.

1) How did Ms Canham calculate noise levels for a proposed Sand and Gravel site that's still a green belt. No matter how qualified and letters after your name she has she still would have had to make assumptions and there is room for error.

Howeverfrom her Proof of Evidence she has missed out some vital information which will affect her calculations on noise levels.

1) In her Proof of Evidence she never once factored in the Lay of the Land which does affect the noise level being carried across this age.

True Fact I have land 2 1/2 miles the other side of the village and from my land I can hear the back bleepers of the lorries, you can hear the children playing happily in the playground, when the Sports Club on Lea Lane is having a social eyeutcan dance to the music playing quite easily, and if the wind is blowing in the right direction you can smell the chips from the chip shop.

Also what is Ms R Canhamedicted noise levels in decibels and times that by 10/11 years. Also in Ms R Canham proof of Evidenshe failed to mention if the lorries that where proposed for the proposed quarry were

6 wheelers

8 wheelers

Dumper trucks

Euro 6

What would the kerbweight be on each lorry so she would be able to calculate noise levels, Ms R Canham Proof of Evidences was presented by technology and a human which can leave room for error, no matter how you dress it up.

If this should be the case then Stop the Quarry should be aloud to submit as part of their proof of Evidence the ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence which wrote a well presented report on why a sand and gravel quarry should never be aloud so close to schools, believe me the report is very damming towards the Proof of Evidence that has been presented by your experts in thid case.

DUST & AIR QUALITM'S Hawkins-Proof of Evidence

Again this poof of evidence from Ms Hawkins leaves room for errors: again I am mystified on how you can measure dust and air quality a proposed quarry in green belt and to be asked to take this proof of evidence as fact. Again there must be some sort of technology with a human there to calculate figures but again there is room for error and a certain assumption is added to the repo Now hears the worrying part again Ms Hawkins has failed to take into the lay of the land and no matter how big theirbuns are or how big their perimeter fence is air is like water you won't stop it. Again as a matter of proofwill point out to youthat my land is 2 1/2 miles away and if the noise and smells can reach then the particles of air dust can also reach me and further. We are on sandy